SINGLE BENCH

03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. 1595 /2005

PETITIONER : B.P. Tiwari,
S/o. Late Shri Chandrika Prasad Tiwari, aged about 48 years
Working as Assistant R.I. (Horticulture),
Municipal Corporation, Bhilai
Presently residing at Sector-7
Street No.35, Block No.4/L
Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1595/05 Presented by Shri Vishal Sugandhi dated 12.4.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through, Secretary
General Administration
Department, Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. Municipal Corporation Bhilai
Through its Commissioner
Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)
3. The Executive Engineer
Horticulture,
Municipal Corporation, Bhilai
District Durg (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 12.4.05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

सपथ आयुक्त O.S. Bilaspur (C.G.) बिलासपुर तहसील केन्द्र

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

28

मामला क्रमांक WPS No. 1595 of 05 **सन्** 200

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

27-4-2005

Shri Ashok Swarnakar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, G.A. for the State.

Heard both the counsel.

The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner got himself sterilized on 16-4-1980 and that was promoted by the State Governmemt that if any Government employee having one or two children get himself sterilized for the purpose of implementation of the Family Planning Programme under the Family Welfare Scheme, then he will be entitled for two increments, if one or two children are there at the time of sterilization. Accordingly, after the petitioner ~~got himself~~ I was sterilized (Annexure-P/2), the Government granted two advance increments. However, without assigning any reason the benefit of the same has been stopped with effect from July, 1998. Several representations were made by the petitioner, but no heed has been paid so far by the respondents.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be directed to move a representation before respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation and pass an order in accordance with law.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

29

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Looking to the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the same being reasonable, just and fair, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation before respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days from today and in turn respondent No.2 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in an objective manner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

(पीछे देखिये)